



SRI Briefing Note Series No.27

Should Flood Re be extended to SMEs?

Dr. Paola Sakai

June 2020



SUSTAINABILITY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy

Policy Brief

Should Flood Re be extended to SMEs?

Flood Re is a governmental scheme which, among other things, guarantees insurance affordability and encourages self-protection. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are vital for local economies; their survival is one of the holy grails of economic recovery when flooding impacts communities. Nonetheless, SMEs remain being the least prepared in times of crisis, as well as understudied and overlooked in flood resilience efforts. This is especially true in terms of insurance protection where they have been excluded from Flood Re. A new study from the UK flood affected areas looks at the economic costs of flooding on SMEs and proposes ways to increase their flood protection.

Highlights

A unified flood risk management framework for SMEs is urgently needed, because the negative effects of flooding on SMEs affect entire towns located in flood-risk areas.

Size and turnover should be taken into account when assessing the economic losses of flooding on SMEs and insurance affordability to better understand the extent of the issue.

More needs to be done to fill-in the gap in the understanding the economic costs of SMEs and the effectiveness of property flood resilience and resistance measures to offer affordable and suitable insurance products in flood-risk areas.

Flood Re should not be extended to SMEs but rather a new joint partnership from the Government and the industry should be established for towns at flood risk.

Flood risk is one of the main climate risks for the UK. A resilient nation needs resilient households and resilient businesses. Flooding is rarely good business, and for SMEs it is sometimes a matter of survival. SMEs are the backbone of cities, towns and communities. They represent 99.3% of all private sector in the UK. Affections in SMEs have knock-on effects in unemployment, tax revenues, disruptions in the supply chain, growth and prosperity of communities. The UK Climate Change Risk Assessment (2016) has highlighted the urgency to investigate how businesses respond to climate risks and which opportunities they have to manage impacts like flooding.

This research offers insights from SMEs, insurers, national and local government officials, academics, charities and organisations that support flood prevention and alleviation efforts. The findings provide new evidence of the challenges that SMEs face around insurance, as well as the strategies that SMEs employ to manage flooding and the opportunities that can be made available to them to increase their resilience. The findings are based on data from 39 interviews, 319 SMEs and a reaction workshop. Results show the need for a flood policy framework specifically for this backbone of society.



Sustainability Research Institute

SCHOOL OF EARTH AND ENVIRONMENT



This policy brief has been written by Dr. Paola Sakai. It summarises the results of a project and a call for evidence available at CCCEP.

P.H.M.D.Oca@leeds.ac.uk

Relative Economic Costs to SMEs

Small businesses are not small versions of big businesses. Current approaches to assess damages on small firms take into account average economic costs, leaving behind differences related to the size of the business. When identifying which size of firm has the biggest losses, it is normally stated that the bigger the business, the bigger the economic losses. However, opposite results emerged when the number of employees and the annual turnover is considered. This research shows that businesses with less than 5 employees experienced the highest economic losses. The economic losses of a single flood event represented 423% more of what they earn in one month. To put it differently, for every £1 of losses, the monthly sales of a business this size would only cover £0.25, meaning that it will take around 4 months of saving its entire monthly sales to cover the losses due to the flood. For businesses with 5-9 employees, the loss relative to the turnover was 119%. The losses of firms with 10 to 19 employees had a relative economic cost of 66% of their monthly turnover. In this manner, the smaller the business, the bigger the losses. It is crucial to consider the relative costs when assessing SMEs economic costs.

Type of costs and risk management strategies also varies with size

The size of the business also offers insights on where the economic costs are higher. For bigger businesses, the most important thing is to secure equipment. For the smaller, structural damage to their premises represents the highest losses. Consequently, investing in certain property resistance/resilience measures can contribute to minimise the losses. This knowledge can be useful to target interventions that are more fruitful, particularly because the size of the firm also determines the

different strategies SMEs take to manage their flood risk. The smaller firms (0-4 employees) normally monitor early warning systems, secure equipment, and move stock and important information. However, they are less likely to talk to suppliers or customers to rearrange deliveries. Bigger businesses are more likely to have a flood risk plan or have undertaken property resilient measures than smaller businesses.



Double impacts: Home-based businesses and landlords-tenants

We found that there are double impacts which are often ignored when assessing the impact of flooding on SMEs. On the one hand, home-based businesses experience higher impacts as a flood event has negative economic consequences, not only on the livelihood of the business owner, but also on their personal life (e.g. a bed and breakfast might not be able to accommodate guests, and the owner might be left without a place to live). On the other hand, renting premises can also have a double economic impact. If the premises are flooded, there will be an economic repercussion to the SME that rents, in addition to an impact to the landlord's income (e.g. cover repairs, deal with unpaid rents, ending contracts early).

There should be more clarity in tenancy agreements regarding flood risk management responsibilities. Moreover, since the majority of the businesses rent their premises, landlords should be encouraged to invest in property resilience measures.

What will happen to towns if businesses start leaving because of constant flooding?

This research explored the scenario of losing businesses as a result of flooding, finding that it can have significant impacts on local economies in the short- to long-terms. If SMEs move away, there are risks that need to be taken into account: loss of a town's attractiveness and character, erosion of the customer base, less variety and diversity of economic activities, increase in undesirable activities linked to more redundant buildings, impact on local budgets (reduction of tax revenues and increases in unemployment claims), disruptions of supply chains, families moving out in search of employment. There is a need to open up spaces and start more profound discussions in order to elicit opportunities to reinvent areas at flood risk. If the objective is to make areas at flood risk climate-resilient and vibrant, then efforts need to be directed now to start building that future. On the contrary, if the objective is to stop people living in flood plains, and encourage SMEs to move away, then there are hard conversations and decisions that need to happen today among the national and local governments, including the people living in flood risk areas.



SMEs and insurance as a widespread issue

There is still uncertainty about whether insurance affordability and accessibility are a widespread problem for SMEs. Results show that in flood risk areas SMEs reported having problems of

businesses, the smallest SMEs and landlords. This research showed the importance of looking at the relative size of the firm, so, the number of employees and the turnover could serve as a proxy to determine affordability of insurance (like Council tax bands are for households).

Making flood insurance compulsory to SMEs in flood risk areas?

Exploring this question was an interesting exercise. As one of the interviewees expressed: *“If we drive a car, you have a car insurance. Why, if you live in a flood zone... should you not have flood insurance? I don't get it”*. Even if the business is at the top of the hill of a town that is at flood risk, it is part of the town and it benefits from the vibrancy of the town. There is a need to look at towns at flood risk as one system because if SMEs are protected, it will help the risk management of entire towns, as well as the wider supply chains, and businesses that rent their premises. However, if insurance was made mandatory, it would not be fair if affordability issues are not resolved. There should be a comprehensive flood risk management framework where SMEs are encouraged to increase their uptake of PFR measures. It is acknowledged that its implementation would be complex and there might be resistance to change, but this could be explored.

Community self-organisation and moral hazard

Self-organisation has been one of the mechanisms that SMEs and their communities can use to overcome flooding impacts. There are examples of businesses and communities coming together to cope with a flooding event and to prevent future impacts. However, self-organisation only happens when there is a strong sense of community, trust, and the social-business networks are already developed. There is a need to

enable spaces where businesses can come together to share experiences. There is also the need to help business-community-led initiatives to embed flood resilience as a priority.



A cultural shift towards transferring ownership of risks and giving responsibilities to communities is starting to happen, but more coherence is needed. It is essential to have a common understanding that, while the government does have a role to play in managing flood risks, every member of the community needs to protect themselves and that the government can be an enabler of those processes. It is also essential the recognition that not all communities at flood risk have the capacities to self-organise and protect themselves, but the government can help to build their capacities. The government should have a more coherent message, and the aid provided in the form of resilience or recovery grants would be better spent in a coherent framework to build the resilience of SMEs before the flood, and not after it. Otherwise, the grants can be seen as a political choice and might create a moral hazard raising the expectations that funding will be made available if something goes wrong. Although it is important to mention that businesses that have flooded in the past know that this support is not enough to recover.

Targeting interventions

In order to target interventions, there is a need to understand not only the economic costs by size but also by sector, as this will help shape the message. For instance, where are the higher costs, and which are the essential things that SMEs in the manufacturing sector

might need? They might need cash-flow support, while wholesale and retail might need access to their customer base; and home-based consultant services might need online services. It is also important to examine inherent characteristics of SMEs (e.g. their need to prioritise their survival), and identify barriers to tailor interventions. For instance, businesses find difficult to decide which information is reliable in terms of what works or not, apart from who they can rely on. The report sheds light on barriers and different ways to engage SMEs and increase the receptiveness of flood-risk and preparedness information, e.g. looking at the message, the timing, the tone, the messenger and the best channels.

Final Remarks

Creating a new Flood Re scheme that enables and prepares SMEs for a future of affordable insurance, where the government and the UK insurance industry join efforts to support and incentivise community resilience might not be the answer to all SMEs, if the political will and the industry appetite are not there. Explorations could be made to include some type of SMEs, such as home-based businesses, the smallest SMEs and landlords. Either way, a unified flood risk management framework for SMEs is urgently needed. This report offers valuable analysis and insights that can inform the development of this framework, and the various findings contribute to advance the discussion. Encouraging the creation of resilient SMEs is a worthy effort as the economic impacts they experience have ripple effects across local and regional economies. Moreover, wetter future winters that are expected with climate change, and black swans such as Covid-19 give us the opportunity to re-think the urgent need to increase the resilience of this vital actor of the socio-economic system, which not only drives employment and growth, but also constitutes an essential fabric of our communities.

Main messages

Flood protection policy framework. The Government should prioritise the development of a flood protection policy framework to increase the resilience of SMEs so they are prepared not only for the next flood, but also for future climate change, and other risks such as Covid-19.

Size matters. Examining the economic costs relative to turnover and number of employees reveal the real extent of the impacts of flooding on SMEs.

Economic costs are significant to the towns and cities. The negative consequences of flooding on SMEs exert ripple effects in their communities, thus their protection should be of interest to the wider community, local and national Government.

Market failure. There is imperfect information that insurers have on the economic costs of flooding on SMEs, and the effectiveness of property flood protection.

Affordable insurance. There is a genuine need to offer better and affordable insurance

products to SMEs (particularly the smallest) in flood-risk areas.

Resilience measures considered in the price. Insurance could be a driver of self-protection if it is tied to the take-up of resilient measures and reflected on the price.

Should Flood Re be extended to SMEs?

Flood Re should not be extended to SMEs in its current form, but there is a need to seize the opportunity to explore the creation of a new scheme focused on SMEs. Home-based businesses, the smallest and landlords should be prioritised.

About this policy brief

This short document presents the findings and recommendations of a research project funded by the Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, under the Innovation Fund Grant, and the support of the UKRI Research and Innovation Fellowship grant number ES/S001727/1. The aim of the fund is to stimulate the flow of innovative ideas on climate policy from both the practitioner and research communities. CCCEP is funded by the UK Economic and Social Research Council. This policy brief aims to highlight the evidence that has been found through this research to inform policy decision-makers in the public, private and third sectors. The views expressed in this brief represent those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the host institutions or funders.

About the Sustainability Research Institute

The Sustainability Research Institute conducts internationally recognised, academically excellent and problem-oriented interdisciplinary research and teaching on environmental, social and economic aspects of sustainability. We draw on various social and natural science disciplines, including ecological economics, environmental economics, political science, policy studies, development studies, business and management, geography, sociology, science and technology studies, ecology, environmental science and soil science in our work.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the participants of this research, politicians, insurance industry stakeholders, SMEs support experts, and academics who participated in the survey, semi-structured interviews and the workshop. The author is also grateful for the comments and feedback from Jouni Paavola and Swenja Surminski at the early stages of the research, to the reviewers of the brief and to the fabulous research assistants that contributed in numerous ways in the project.

© The author, 2020. Published by the Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, Sustainability Research Institute, University of Leeds, 2020.

We encourage the wide use of this document. All permissions request should be directed to the Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy.

Suggested citation:

Sakai, P. (2020) Should Flood Re be extended to SMEs? SRI Briefing Notes Series. No. 27. Available at: <https://www.see.leeds.ac.uk/research/sri/briefing-notes/>